## **Abstract**

## Analysis of the 2nd Quality assessment on tuberculosis care in Korea

Shin Jeeyeon, Kim Jinsun, In HyeKyung, Shim Eunhye
Division of Tuberculosis Prevention and Control, Bureau of Infectious Disease Policy, Korea Disease Control and Prevention Agency (KDCA)

In order to reduce the gap in quality of tuberculosis (TB) care among hospitals and raise the quality of tuberculosis care to a certain level, the Quality assessment on tuberculosis care conducted 1st quality assessment in 2018 and the 2nd quality assessment in 2019.

In this article, we looked at differences between types of medical institutions, types of tuberculosis management (Private-Public Mix [PPM]/Non-PPM), major regional outcomes, and preliminary assessments, focusing on "primary TB assessment." The analysis data were utilized 1st quality assessment in 2018 and the 2nd quality assessment in 2019 with six evaluation indexes (performance rate of acid-fast bacilli smear, performance rate of acid-fast bacilli culture, performance rate of *M. tuberculosis*-polymerase chain reaction, compliance rate of standard treatment regimens, rate of visiting institution of TB patient, daily rate of TB prescription).

Looking at each type of medical institution, among the six evaluation indexes, the highest rate of compliance rate of standard treatment regimens and the lowest of visiting institution of TB patient were found. Among the three indicators of accuracy of diagnosis, performance rate of *M. tuberculosis*-polymerase chain reaction was the lowest and the clinics hospitals performed lower than the general hospitals, and tertiary hospitals. Within each institution, the gap is widening by index, and the gap has widened from tertiary hospitals to clinics. The PPM agency showed higher results in five indicators (performance rate of acid-fast bacilli smear, performance rate of acid-fast bacilli culture, performance rate of *M. tuberculosis*-polymerase chain reaction, rate of visiting institution of TB patient, daily rate of TB prescription) compared to the Non-PPM agency. According to regional analysis, two indicators were low in Chunbuk, Jeonnam, where there are no PPM or very low share rates by PPM. The results of health insurance recipients were higher in all six indicators than those eligible for medical aid. Compared to the first evaluation, the results of the second evaluation were improved in five indicator and the deviation of each institution was reduced.

The results of Quality assessment of TB will be used as basic data useful for the promotion of the quality of TB treatment and establishment of national TB management policy.

Keywords: Tuberculosis, Quality assessment, Private-Public Mixed, Non-Private-Public Mixed

Table 1. Index, yield formula, code of ICD in quality assessment on tuberculosis care

Index of evaluat	tion	Yield formula	Code of international classification of diseases (ICD)				
Accuracy of diagnosis	Index1. performance rate of acid fast bacilli smear	(number carried out acid-fast bacilli smear/number of new cases of respiratory TB) $\times\ 100$	Respiratory TB, Military TB				
	Index2, performance rate of acid fast bacilli culture	(number carried out acid fast bacilli culture/number of new cases of respiratory TB) $\times\ 100$	(A15, A16, A19)				
	Index3, performance rate of <i>M. tuberculosis</i> —polymerase chain reaction						
Compliance of standard treatment regimens	Index4. compliance rate of standard treatment regimens	(compliance number of standard treatment regimens /number of new cases of TB) $\times$ 100 $$	Respiratory TB, TB of nervous system, TB of other organs, Military TB (A15, A16, A17, A18, A19)				
TB patient management	Index5. rate of visiting institution of TB patient	(average number of visiting institution per 1 TB patient /six times) $\times$ 100 $$					
level	Index6. daily rate of TB prescription	(total days of TB prescriptions/6 months [180day]) $\times$ 100					

Table 2. Distribution of medical institutions in quality assessment on tuberculosis care

N (%)

Characteristics		Takal	Type of medical institutions							
		Total	Tertiary hospitals	General hospitals	Hospitals	Clinics				
Number of medical institutions		572 (100.0)	42 (7.4)	283 (49.5)	185 (32.3)	62 (10.8)				
Number of cases		9,293 (100.0)	3,451 (37.1)	5,212 (56.1)	527 (5.7)	103 (1.1)				
cases per medical institutions		16.2	82.2	18.4	2.8	1.7				
	Sub total	572 (100.0)								
Number of medical institutions	PPM	158 (27.6)	42 (26.6)	113 (71.5)	3 (1.9)	0 (0.0)				
montations	Non-PPM	414 (72.4)	0 (0.0)	170 (41.0)	182 (44.0)	62 (15.0)				
	Sub total	9,293 (100.0)								
cases per medical institutions	PPM	7,715 (83.0)	3,451 (44.7)	4,174 (54.1)	90 (1.2)	0 (0.0)				
montanono	Non-PPM	1,578 (17.0)	0 (0.0)	1,038 (65.8)	437 (27.7)	103 (6.5)				

Table 3. Gender, age, types of the National Health Insurance, Number of hospitals used of patients in quality assessment on tuberculosis care

N (%)

	Index	No. of patients (%)				
Total		9,293 (100.0)				
Condor	Male	5,359 (57.7)				
Gender	Female	3,934 (42.3)				
	⟨20	148 (1.7)				
	20-29	648 (7.0)				
	30-39	768 (8.3)				
A = 0	40-49	984 (10.6)				
Age	50-59	1,553 (16.7)				
	60-69	1,557 (16.7)				
	70–79	1,825 (19.5)				
	≥80	1,810 (19.5)				
	Health Insurance	8,740 (94.0)				
Types of the National Health Insurance	Medical Aid	552 (5.9)				
	Veterans	1 (0.1)				
	1	7,999 (86.1)				
North and the control of the	2	1,153 (12.4)				
Number of hospitals used	3	132 (1.4)				
	≥4	9 (0.1)				

Table 4. Outcome per patient, distribution of institution type in quality assessment on tuberculosis care

Outcome Distribution of institution type per patient Index of evaluation Standard Minimum Q1 Median Q3 Average Average Maximum deviation Performance rate Accuracy of Total 96.2 94.1 18.0 0.0 98.1 100.0 100.0 100.0 diagnosis of acid-fast bacilli Tertiary hospitals 97.2 97.2 2.2 92.6 95.6 97.3 98.8 100.0 smear General hospitals 95.9 94.5 15.5 0.0 96.5 100.0 100.0 100.0 Hospitals 94.0 91.6 23,9 0.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 Clinics 94.6 97.2 11.6 50.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 Performance rate Total 19.4 96.5 100.0 100.0 96.4 92.6 0.0 100.0 of acid-fast bacilli Tertiary hospitals 98.5 97.1 97.1 2,1 90.7 95.6 97.2 100.0 culture General hospitals 96.6 94.3 13.8 0.0 94.9 100.0 100.0 100.0 Hospitals 91.4 88.9 26.3 0.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 Clinics 89.1 91.8 23.4 0.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 Performance rate Total 100,0 94.4 87.9 25,0 0.0 91.1 100.0 100.0 of M. tuberculosis Tertiary hospitals 96.0 95.9 4.0 94.1 96.8 98.4 100.0 77.1 -polymerase chain reaction General hospitals 95.1 92.0 15.9 91.7 100.0 100.0 100.0 0.0 Hospitals 81.7 83.0 31.9 76.4 100.0 100.0 100.0 0.0 Clinics 100.0 77.2 76.5 38.0 50.0 100.0 100.0 0.0 Compliance Compliance rate of Total 97.1 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 97.8 7.9 0.0 standard treatment of standard Tertiary hospitals 95.2 98.3 96.8 96.9 2,4 91.1 97.2 100.0 treatment regimens regimens General hospitals 97.5 98.9 100.0 97.8 6.2 50.0 100.0 100.0 Hospitals 96.4 97.7 10.5 0.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 Clinics 92.9 98.9 8.8 33.3 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 Rate of visiting TB patient Total 88.3 87.8 12.7 16.7 83.3 90.7 97.5 100.0 management institution of TB Tertiary hospitals 86.8 83.5 0.88 92.0 95.7 87.2 5.8 72.7 level patient General hospitals 89.5 88.0 10.5 50.0 83.3 90.5 94.8 100.0 Hospitals 0.88 87.9 14.5 25.0 83.3 91.7 100.0 100.0 Clinics 86.8 86.2 18.9 16.7 83.3 91.7 100.0 100.0 Daily rate of TB Total 95.9 93,9 10.9 93,7 97,3 100,0 100,0 7.8 prescription Tertiary hospitals 96.4 95.1 96.5 97.7 98.7 96.3 1.7 91.3 General hospitals 95.9 94.9 8.1 94.4 96.8 99.1 100.0 24.4 Hospitals 93.4 92.5 13.5 90.7 99.3 100.0 100.0 25.0 Clinics 91.5 91.7 15.7 7.8 91.7 99.4 100.0 100.0

%

Table 5. Outcome per patient, distribution of institution of PPM/Non-PPM in quality assessment on tuberculosis care

Outcome per Distribution of institution type patient Index of evaluation Type Standard Average Maximum Minimum Q1 Median Q3 **Average** deviation Performance Total 96.2 94.1 18.0 98.1 100.0 100.0 100.0 0.0 rate of PPM 9.0 98.5 100.0 acid-fast 96.6 96.4 26.1 95.8 100.0 bacilli smear Non-PPM 20.4 100.0 94.3 93.1 0.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 Performance Total 96.4 92.6 19.4 0.0 96.5 100.0 100.0 100.0 rate of acid-fast PPM 97.2 96.9 4.7 60.0 95.7 98.1 100.0 100.0 bacilli culture Non-PPM 92.6 90.8 22.5 0.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 Performance Total 94.4 87.9 25.0 100.0 100.0 100,0 0.0 91,1 rate of M. tuberculosis PPM 96.6 100.0 95.5 94.6 8.3 33.3 93.3 100.0 -polymerase Non-PPM 89.3 85.2 28.7 0.0 83.3 100.0 100.0 100.0 chain reaction Compliance 13,4 100,0 100.0 100,0 100,0 Total 97.1 96,6 0.0 rate of standard PPM 97.1 97.4 3.0 87.0 95.4 98.1 100.0 100.0 treatment 97.1 Non-PPM 96.3 15.7 0.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 regimens Rate of visiting 88.3 12.7 90.7 87.8 16.7 83.3 97.5 100.0 Total institution of PPM TB patient 88.5 88.9 72.7 90.2 93.8 6.5 85.5 100.0 Non-PPM 88.0 87.3 14.5 16.7 83.3 91.7 100.0 100.0 Daily rate of 95.9 93.9 10.9 97.3 100.0 100.0 Total 7.8 93.7 TB prescription PPM 96.2 95.9 3.1 78.8 94.7 96.4 98.0 100.0

93.1

12.6

7.8

92.6

98.6

100.0

100.0

Non-PPM

94.3

%

Table 6. Distribution of region in quality assessment on tuberculosis care

Performance rate Performance rate Performance rate Compliance rate of Rate of visiting Daily rate of TB of M. tuberculosis standard treatment of acid-fast bacilli of acid-fast bacilli institution of TB Region prescription -polymerase chain smear culture regimens patient reaction Total 96.2 96.4 94.4 97.1 88.3 95.9 Seoul 95.7 96.6 93.5 96.8 85.3 96.0 Busan 98.1 97.3 96.1 96.7 90.3 96.5 Incheon 97.3 97.1 96.0 96.2 91.2 96.8 98.1 96.4 97.1 97.4 96.7 Daegu 89.8 97.8 96.9 99.1 88.0 96.5 Gwangju 99.1 Daejeon 95.3 95.7 95.7 97.6 89.0 95.8 Ulsan 98.4 97.9 95.8 93.1 91.0 95.1 97.2 96.9 94.9 97.4 89.0 95.9 Gyeonggy 93.8 94.8 90.5 95.9 95.5 91.6 Gangwon Chungbuk 95.8 95.8 92.7 97.6 85.0 94.2 88.5 94.9 Chungnam 95.3 95.7 91.9 97.5 94.7 95.9 Jeonbuk 96.5 96.8 97.2 91.5 80.4 86.6 Jeonnam 94.9 97.1 90.5 94.6 99.5 95.7 Gyeongbuk 97.9 95.6 95.6 89.2 92.0 97.9 95.1 Gyeongnam 95.4 94.7 87.3 95.0 Jeju 97.5 98.3 96.7 96.6 85.8

No cases in sejong city

Table 7. Distribution of types of the National Health Insurance in quality assessment on tuberculosis care

% Health Insurance Index of evaluation Total Medical Aid Index1, performance rate of acid fast 96.2 96.2 95.6 bacilli smear Index2, performance rate of acid fast 96.4 96.5 94.9 bacilli culture Index3. performance rate of M. tuberculosis-94.4 94.5 92.4 polymerase chain reaction Index4, compliance rate of standard 97.1 95.7 97.2 treatment regimens 88.3 89.2 76.2 Index5, rate of visiting institution of TB patient Index6. daily rate of TB prescription 95.9 96.1 92.8

%

Table 8. Difference between 1st evaluation and 2nd evaluation of institutions type in quality assessment on tuberculosis care

% (%p)

ladar of archaellar	Total		Tertiary hospitals		General hospitals		Hospitals		Clinics	
Index of evaluation	1st	2nd	1st	2nd	1st	2nd	1st	2nd	1st	2nd
Performance rate of acid-fast bacilli smear	95.8	96.2 ( <b>0.4</b> ↑ )	96.1	97.2	96.0	95.9	94.1	94.0	90.7	94.6 ( <b>3.9</b> ↑ )
Performance rate of acid-fast bacilli culture	95.5	96.4 ( <b>0.9</b> † )	96.6	97.1	96.2	96.6	85.9	91.4 <b>(5.5↑)</b>	87.1	89.1 <b>(2.0</b> ↑ )
Performance rate of <i>M. tuberculosis</i> —polymerase chain reaction	93.0	94.4 <b>(1.4</b> ↑)	94.3	96.0	94.4	95.1	80.9	81.7	64.7	77.2 <b>(12.5</b> ↑ <b>)</b>
Compliance rate of standard treatment regimens	96.8	97.1 ( <b>0.3</b> † )	96.4	96.8	97.2	97.5	96.0	96.4	93.4	92.9 <b>(0.5 ↓ )</b>
Rate of visiting institution of TB patient	88.2	88.3 <b>(0.1</b> ↑ <b>)</b>	87.3	86.8 (0.5 \ )	88.7	89.5	88.0	88.0	89.3	86.8 <b>(2.5</b> ↓ <b>)</b>
Daily rate of TB prescription	95.9	95.9 <b>–</b>	96.4	96.4	95.8	95.9	93.4	93.4	94.6	91.5 <b>(3.1</b> ↓)

Table 9. Institutional deviation in quality assessment on tuberculosis care

% (%p)

hadou of makerthan	Total		Tertiary hospitals		General hospitals		Hospitals		Clinics	
Index of evaluation -	1st	2nd	1st	2nd	1st	2nd	1st	2nd	1st	2nd
Performance rate of acid-fast bacilli smear	17.0	18.0 <b>(1.0</b> ↑ <b>)</b>	3.7	2.2 (1.5 \ )	13.1	15.5 <b>(2.4</b> ↓)	18.5	23.9 <b>(5.4</b> ↑ <b>)</b>	26.9	11.6 <b>(15.3</b> ↓ <b>)</b>
Performance rate of acid-fast bacilli culture	24.5	19.4 <b>(5.1</b> ↓ <b>)</b>	2.4	2.1 (0.3 \dagger)	14.1	13.8 <b>(0.3↓)</b>	31.2	26.3 <b>(4.9</b> ↓)	35.7	23.4 <b>(12.3</b> \( \)
Performance rate of <i>M. tuberculosis</i> –polymerase chain reaction	29.1	25.0 <b>(3.9</b> ↓)	4.5	4.0 (0.5 \dagger)	14.7	15.9 <b>(1.2</b> ↑ <b>)</b>	31.5	31.9 <b>(0.4</b> ↑ <b>)</b>	47.9	38.0 (9.9 \ )
Compliance rate of standard treatment regimens	14.5	7.9 <b>(6.6</b> \( \) <b>)</b>	2.6	2.4 (0.2 \dagger)	7.6	6.2 <b>(1.4↓)</b>	18.2	10.5 <b>(7.7</b> ↓)	23.4	8.8 (14.6 \ )
Rate of visiting institution of TB patient	13.7	12.7 <b>(1.0↓)</b>	5.9	5.8 <b>(0.1</b> \ \ <b>)</b>	9.9	10.5 <b>(0.6</b> ↑ <b>)</b>	17.6	14.5 <b>(3.1 ↓ )</b>	16.8	18.9 <b>(2.1</b> ↑ )
Daily rate of TB prescription	11.0	10.9 <b>(0.9↓)</b>	1.8	1.7 <b>(0.1</b> \ \ <b>)</b>	8.1	8.1	13.6	13.5 <b>(0.1 ↓ )</b>	14.4	15.7 <b>(1.3</b> ↑ <b>)</b>

Table 10. Difference between 1st evaluation and 2nd evaluation of PPM/Non-PPM in quality assessment on tuberculosis care

% (%p)

Index of evaluation	Tota	I	PPM	1	Non-PPM	
Index of evaluation —	1st	2nd	1st	2nd	1st	2nd
Performance rate of acid-fast bacilli smear	95.8	96.2 <b>(0.4</b> ↑ <b>)</b>	96.3	96.6 <b>(0.3</b> ↑)	94.5	94.3 (0.2 \dot)
Performance rate of acid-fast bacilli culture	95.5	96.4 <b>(0.9</b> ↑)	97.0	97.2 <b>(0.2↑)</b>	91.0	92.6 <b>(1.6</b> ↑ <b>)</b>
Performance rate of <i>M. tuberculosis</i> —polymerase chain reaction	93.0	94.4 <b>(1.4</b> ↑ <b>)</b>	94.7	95.5 <b>(0.8</b> ↑)	87.7	89.3 <b>(1.6</b> ↑ <b>)</b>
Compliance rate of standard treatment regimens	96.8	97.1 <b>(0.3</b> ↑ <b>)</b>	96.7	97.1 <b>(0.4</b> ↑ <b>)</b>	97.1	97.1 -
Rate of visiting institution of TB patient	88.2	88.3 <b>(0.1</b> ↑ <b>)</b>	88.1	88.5 <b>(0.4</b> ↑ <b>)</b>	88.3	88.0 (0.3 \dagger)
Daily rate of TB prescription	95.9	95.9 <b>–</b>	96.4	96.2 <b>(0.2</b> ↓)	94.4	94.3 <b>(0.1 ↓ )</b>